

# Invasive soft scales (Hemiptera: Coccidae) and their threat to U.S. agriculture

**Gary L Miller and Douglass R Miller**

*Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 105:832-846 (2003)

<http://biostor.org/reference/55664>

Keywords: Ceroplastes; Citrus; Coccidae; Coccoidea; Hemiptera; Homoptera; Myrtaceae; Neolecanium silveirai; Philephedra; Prococcus acutissimus



Page images from the Biodiversity Heritage Library, <http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/>, made available under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5/>

## INVASIVE SOFT SCALES (HEMIPTERA: COCCIDAE) AND THEIR THREAT TO U.S. AGRICULTURE

GARY L. MILLER AND DOUGLASS R. MILLER

Systematic Entomology Laboratory, Plant Science Institute, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bldg. 005, BARC-West, 10300 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705 U.S.A. (GLM e-mail: gmiller@sel.barc.usda.gov; DRM e-mail: dmiller@sel.barc.usda.gov)

**Abstract.**—We provide a compilation of 147 species of soft scales that are considered either pests or represent a threat to United States agriculture. Included for each species, where applicable, is reference to origin and date of introduction if applicable, establishment in the United States, pest or threat status in the United States along with a validation citation, principal hosts, and biogeographical region of origin.

**Key Words:** Coccidae, soft scales, invasive species, biological control, quarantine, agriculture, forestry, horticulture, ornamentals, fruit trees

Invasive (non-native) species of insects represent an increasing concern to the United States. If non-native species become pests, the consequences include loss of production, diminished product quality, production cost increases, flexibility decreases in production/management decisions, increased risk of human disease, and damaging environmental and aesthetic effects (Huber et al. 2002). Various insects of agricultural concern have been identified as potentially damaging if introduced or reintroduced into the United States (Huber et al. 2002). Invasive species and potentially dangerous species of mealybugs (Pseudococcidae) have been recently examined as they pertain to agriculture in the United States (Miller et al. 2002). The Coccidae or soft scales, like all scale insects, are plant feeders. A few species of soft scales are valuable to man as biological control agents of noxious weeds, however, many are pests of economically important plants.

Because invasive species of insects represent a major concern to U.S. agriculture,

we have investigated several parameters concerning invasive soft scales. Objectives of this paper are: 1) To develop a preliminary world list of the pest soft scales; 2) provide a list of pest soft scales introduced to the continental United States; 3) to determine which species in the previous two objectives are either introduced or native to the continental United States; 3) examine data provided by the United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service—Plant Protection and Quarantine (USDA, APHIS-PPQ) concerning the most commonly intercepted soft scales at the United States ports-of-entry; and 5) using all of this information, try to predict which soft scales are the most likely candidates for future invasions into the continental United States.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Executive Order 13112 established the National Invasive Species Council and provided a definition of an invasive species as “... a species that is 1) non-native (or

alien) to the ecosystem under consideration and 2) whose introduction causes or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human health." This definition not only includes species alien to the United States but also encompasses native species. The definition also has an economic or potentially economic component. By this definition, the tulip tree scale, *Toumeyella liriodendri* (Gmelin), would be an example of an invasive species in the United States even though it likely is native. Our definition is based on Miller et al. (2002) and is more simplistic. They considered invasive species to be those that are non-native [also introduced, nonindigenous, exotic, alien or invasive (Huber et al. 2002)] to the United States regardless of economic harm.

We have used a broad definition of the term "pest" to create a table of pest soft scales of the world (Table 1). If a soft scale is described in the literature as either a pest, causing damage, requiring control, or of economic importance, we have included it in the list. A pest species as defined by some authors (e.g., Ebeling 1959, Pfeiffer 1997) was regarded as any record of a soft scale on certain economic hosts. Ebeling's (1959) justification was based on his consideration that some species not of economic importance become major pests through adaptation or by being transferred to regions of lower environmental resistance. However, Pfeiffer's (1997) inclusion of *Eulecanium lespedezae* Danzig as a pest of deciduous fruit trees is probably an error because the only known host record of this species is *Lespedeza bicolor* Turcz. (Danzig 1986).

Our perspective for this paper has focused on the impact or potential impact of a pest soft scale on agriculture in the contiguous United States. For example, *Ceroptlates psidii* (Chavannes) is known only from *Psidium* spp. Therefore, because guavas are not widely grown in the contiguous United States, it is considered to have relatively minor pest potential in the United States even though it may be far more im-

portant in areas of the world where guava is of greater economic importance. Conversely, *Ceroptlates japonicus* Green, occurs on many different agricultural plants that are economically important in the United States and it is therefore considered a major threat. The term "threat" is used for species that are considered pests but do not occur in the United States. Determination of the date of introduction in the U.S. was established either from literature records or from the oldest collection record in the National Entomological Collection of the National Museum of Natural History, in Beltsville, Maryland. In at least one case (e.g., *Eriopeltis festucae* Boyer de Fonscolombe), the first literature record in the U.S. is Patch (1905) but the oldest collection record is 1899. Obviously, these dates are estimates of the date when a species first invaded the United States.

It is difficult to determine the zoogeographic area of origin for some species. Some distributional records for *Ceroptlates* spp. are from Qin et al. (1998) and *Coccus* spp. are from Gill et al. (1977). It is not always clear whether an invasive species is from the Old or New World. In some cases, we have simply made a supposition based on the current distribution of the species, the distribution of what appears to be its closest relatives, and the natural distribution of its primary host plants. We have used the same criteria to determine if a particular species is native to the United States. Our use of the terms polyphagous, oligophagous, and monophagous has been slightly modified for the current paper and are hereby defined for those species that have greater than 10 host-plant families, 3–10 host-plant families, and 1–2 host-plant families respectfully.

## RESULTS

Table 1 provides information on 147 species of soft scales. The table includes one species [*Prococcus acutissimus* (Green)] that has been introduced and established into the United States but is not considered

Table 1. Pest or threat soft scale species to United States agriculture. Abbreviations for origin are Afrotropical Region (AF), Australasian Region (AU), Nearctic (NE), Neotropical Region (NT), Oriental Region (OR), Palearctic Region (PA).

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Anapulvinaria pistaciae</i> (Bodenheimer)	no	minor threat	Abu-Yaman 1970	monophagous, including pistachio	PA	
<i>Anthococcus keravatae</i> Williams and Watson	no	minor threat	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	oligophagous, including tropical plants	AU	
<i>Ceroplastes actiniformis</i> Green	no	threat	Swirski et al. 1997	polyphagous, including tropical fruits	OR	
<i>Ceroplastes bergi</i> Cockerell	native?	no	threat	oligophagous, including citrus	NT	
<i>Ceroplastes brachyurus</i> Cockerell	native?	yes	minor pest	oligophagous, including citrus	NE(?)	
<i>Ceroplastes brevicauda</i> Hall	no	threat	Murphy 1997	polyphagous, including coffee and citrus	AF	
<i>Ceroplastes centiferus</i> (Fabricius)	FL, 1908	yes	pest	polyphagous	NT	
<i>Ceroplastes cirripediformis</i> Comstock	FL, 1881	yes	pest	polyphagous	NE	
<i>Ceroplastes cistidiformis</i> Cockerell	native?	yes	minor pest	polyphagous, including citrus	NE(?)	
<i>Ceroplastes destructor</i> Newstead	no	major threat	Sabine 1969	polyphagous, including citrus	AF	
<i>Ceroplastes dugesii</i> Lichtenstein	FL, 1908	yes	pest	polyphagous	NT	
<i>Ceroplastes eugeniae</i> Hall	no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	AF	
<i>Ceroplastes floridensis</i> Comstock	FL, 1881	yes	pest	polyphagous, including citrus	NE	
<i>Ceroplastes flosculoides</i> Matile-Ferrero	no	minor threat	Matile-Ferrero and Couturier 1993	and ornamentals	NT	
<i>Ceroplastes grandis</i> Hempel	no	major threat	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	<i>Myrica dubia</i>	NT	
<i>Ceroplastes japonicus</i> Green	no	major threat	Pellizzari-Scaltriti and Antonucci 1982	polyphagous, including ornamentals and fruit trees	OR	
<i>Ceroplastes pseudoceriferus</i> Green	no	major threat	Swirski et al. 1997	polyphagous, including tropical fruits and ornamentals	OR	
<i>Ceroplastes psidii</i> (Chavannes)	no	minor threat	Hempel 1920	monophagous, including guava	NT	
<i>Ceroplastes quadridelineatus</i> Newstead	no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including fruit trees	AF	
<i>Ceroplastes rubens</i> Maskell	FL, 1955	yes	pest	polyphagous, including citrus and ornamentals	AF	

Table 1. Continued.

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Ceroplastes rusci</i> (Linnaeus)	FL, 1994	yes	pest	Ben-Dov 1988	polyphagous, including citrus and ornamentals	AF
<i>Ceroplastes sinensis</i> Del Guercio	NC, 1920	yes	pest	Gimpel et al. 1974	polyphagous, including citrus and ornamentals	NT
<i>Ceroplastes siniae</i> Hall		no	minor threat	Bedford 1968	oligophagous, including ornamentals	AF
<i>Coccus africanus</i> (Newstead)		no	minor threat	Ebeling 1959	oligophagous, including tropical fruits and coffee	AF
<i>Coccus alpinus</i> De Lotto		no	minor threat	Murphy 1997	oligophagous, including tropical fruits and coffee	AF
<i>Coccus celatus</i> De Lotto		no	minor threat	Murphy 1997	polyphagous, including tropical fruits and coffee	AF
<i>Coccus capparidis</i> (Green)	FL, 1975	yes	minor pest	Gill et al. 1977	polyphagous	OR
<i>Coccus discrepans</i> (Green)		no	threat	Ebeling 1959	polyphagous, including tropical fruits	OR
<i>Coccus formicarii</i> (Green)		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	polyphagous, including tropical fruits	OR
<i>Coccus hesperidum</i> Linnaeus	CA, 1880	yes	pest	Ebeling 1959	polyphagous	OR
<i>Coccus longulus</i> (Douglas)	NY, 1921	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	polyphagous, including tropical fruits and ornamentals	OR(?)
<i>Coccus pseudohesperidum</i> (Cockerell)	DC, 1912	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	monophagous, including orchids	NT
<i>Coccus pseudomagnolarium</i> (Kuwana)	CA, 1910	yes	major pest	Ebeling 1959	oligophagous, including citrus	PA
<i>Coccus viridis</i> (Green)	FL, 1949	yes	major pest	Gill et al. 1977	polyphagous	AF
<i>Coccus wattii</i> (Green)		no	threat	Ebeling 1959	monophagous, including citrus and camellia	OR
<i>Cribrolecanium andersoni</i> (Newstead)		no	threat	Brink and Bruwer 1989	oligophagous, including tropical fruits	AF
<i>Crassulotesta fagi</i> (Maskell)		no	threat	Hosking and Kershaw 1985	monophagous, including beech trees	AU
<i>Didesmococcus koreanus</i> Borchsenius		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA

Table 1. Continued.

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Didesmococcus unifasciatus</i> (Archangelskaya)		no	threat	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	OR
<i>Drepanococcus chiton</i> (Green)		no	threat	Campbell 1997	polyphagous, including tropical fruits	OR
<i>Ericerus pela</i> (Chavannes)	NY, 1899	yes	minor pest	Kosztarab 1997b	monophagous, including deciduous forest trees	PA
<i>Eriopeltis festucae</i> (Boyer de Fonscolombe)	CA, 1901	yes no	major pest minor threat	Dekle 1973 Pfeiffer 1997	polyphagous, greenhouse pest	NT
<i>Eucalylmnatus tessellatus</i> (Signoret)	CA, 1909	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Eulecanium alnicola</i> Chen				Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Eulecanium cerasorum</i> (Cockerell)				Lagowska 1984	oligophagous, including deciduous forest and fruit trees	PA
<i>Eulecanium ciliatum</i> (Douglas)				McKenzie 1951	oligophagous, including deciduous forest and fruit trees	PA
<i>Eulecanium douglasi</i> (Šulc)	CA, 1896	yes	major pest	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous forest and fruit trees	PA
<i>Eulecanium kunoense</i> (Kuwana)				Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Eulecanium novicicum</i> Borchsenius				Kosztarab 1997a	monophagous, including conifers	PA
<i>Eulecanium rugulosum</i> (Archangelskaya)				Kosztarab 1996 Pfeiffer 1997	polyphagous	PA
<i>Eulecanium sericeum</i> (Lindner)					monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Eulecanium tiliæ</i> (Linnaeus)	CA, 1908	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	oligophagous, including olive and pistachio	PA
<i>Eulecanium transcaucasicum</i> Borchsenius		no	minor threat	Nada et al. 1990 Gill and Kosztarab 1997	polyphagous	OR
<i>Filippia follicularis</i> (Targioni Tozzetti)	MO, 1903	yes no	pest threat		polyphagous, including ornamentals	PA
<i>Kilia acuminata</i> (Signoret)						
<i>Lichtenia viburni</i> Signoret						

Table 1. Continued.

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Maacoccus bicruciatus</i> (Green)		no	minor threat	Ebeling 1959	oligophagous, including citrus and mango	OR
<i>Mallotococcus viticola</i> Young		no	minor threat	Wan et al. 1985	oligophagous, including ornamentals and medical herb	PA
<i>Megapulvinaria maxima</i> (Green)		no	threat	Chua 1997b	polyphagous, including tropical plants	OR
<i>Mesolecanium deltae</i> Lizer y Trelles		no	major threat	Teran and Guyot 1969	monophagous, including citrus	NT
<i>Mesolecanium nigrofasciatum</i> (Pergande)	native	yes	major pest	Kosztarab 1996	polyphagous, including fruit trees and ornamentals	NE
<i>Milviscutulus mangiferae</i> (Green)	FL, 1935	yes	major pest	Avidov and Harpaz 1969	polyphagous	OR
<i>Milviscutulus pilosus</i> Williams and Watson		no	minor threat	Chua 1997a	oligophagous, including coconut	AU
<i>Neolecanium cornuparvum</i> (Thro)	native	yes	minor pest	Williams and Kosztarab 1972	monophagous, including magnolia	NE
<i>Neolecanium silveirai</i> (Hempel)		no	major threat	Lepage and Piza 1941	monophagous, including grapes	NT
<i>Neopulvinaria innumerabilis</i> (Rathvon)	native	yes	major pest	Kosztarab 1997c	polyphagous	NE
<i>Neosaissetia triangularum</i> (Morrison)		no	minor threat	Chua 1997a	monophagous, including cocomnut	OR
<i>Palaeolecanium bituberculatum</i> (Singtonet)		no	major threat	Lagowska 1984	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Palaeolecanium kosswigi</i> (Bodenheimer)		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Paralecanium cocophyllae</i> Banks		no	minor threat	Chua 1997a	monophagous, including cocomnut	OR
<i>Paralecanium milleri</i> Takahashi		no	minor threat	Chua 1997a	oligophagous, including mango and coconut	OR
<i>Parasaissetia nigra</i> (Nietner)	AL, 1929	yes	major pest	Gill 1988	polyphagous	OR
<i>Parthenolecanium comi</i> (Bouché)	KS, 1874	yes	major pest	Hamon and Williams 1984	polyphagous	PA
<i>Parthenolecanium fletcheri</i> (Cockerell)	native	yes	pest	Kosztarab 1997a	monophagous, including conifers	NE
<i>Parthenolecanium glandi</i> (Kuwana)		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA

Table 1. Continued.

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Parthenolecanium orientalis</i> Borchsenius	CA, 1897 native	no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Parthenolecanium persicae</i> (Fabricius)	yes	major pest	Williams and Kosztarab 1972		polyphagous	PA
<i>Parthenolecanium pruinosum</i> (Coquillett)	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997		oligophagous, including walnuts	NE
<i>Parthenolecanium putnami</i> (Phillips)	no	minor pest	Pfeiffer 1997		oligophagous, including deciduous forest and fruit trees	NE
<i>Parthenolecanium quercifex</i> (Fitch)	native	yes	major pest	Gill 1988	oligophagous, including oaks	NE
<i>Parthenolecanium rufulum</i> (Cockerell)		no	threat	Kosztarab 1997b	polyphagous, including deciduous forest trees	PA
<i>Philepheidra broadwayi</i> (Cockerell)	no	threat	Nakahara and Gill 1985		oligophagous, including tropical fruits	NT
<i>Philepheidra tuberculosa</i> Nakahara and Gill	native	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	polyphagous	NE
<i>Physokermes hemicyrthus</i> (Dalman)	CA, 1958	yes	pest	Santas 1988	monophagous, including conifers	PA
<i>Physokermes insignicola</i> (Craw)	native	yes	minor pest	Gill 1988	monophagous, including pines	NE
<i>Physokermes piceae</i> (Schrank)		no	threat	Santos 1988	monophagous, including spruce	PA
<i>Physokermes taxifoliae</i> Coleman	native	yes	pest	Kosztarab 1997a	monophagous, including fir	NE
<i>Platylglisia noacki</i> Cockerell		no	minor threat	Ebeling 1959	polyphagous, including avocado and ornamentals	NT
<i>Platylecanium coccotis</i> Laiing		no	minor threat	Chua 1997a	monophagous, including cocomnut	AU
<i>Prococcus acutissimus</i> (Green)	FL, 1956	yes	not a pest	Gill et al. 1977	polyphagous	OR
<i>Protopulvinaria longivalvata</i> Green		no	threat	Ebeling 1959	polyphagous, including tropical fruits and ornamentals	OR
<i>Protopulvinaria pyriformis</i> (Cockerell)	FL, 1906	yes	major pest	Del Rivero 1966	polyphagous	NT
<i>Pseudophilippia lanigera</i> (Hempel)	no	minor threat	Ebeling 1959	oligophagous, including citrus	NT	
<i>Pseudophilippia quaintanensis</i> Cockerell	native	yes	pest	Ray and Williams 1980	monophagous, including pines	NE
<i>Pulvinaria acericola</i> (Walsh and Riley)	native	yes	pest	Kosztarab 1997b	oligophagous, including deciduous forest trees	NE
<i>Pulvinaria amygdali</i> Cockerell	native	yes	minor pest	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	NE

Table 1. Continued.

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Pulvinaria aurantii</i> Cockerell	MD, 1941	no	threat major pest	Gill 1997	polyphagous, including citrus	PA
<i>Pulvinaria citricola</i> Kuwana		yes		Gill and Kosztarab 1997	oligophagous, including citrus	PA
<i>Pulvinaria decorata</i> Borchsenius	CA, 1973	no	minor threat	Ebeling 1959	and persimmon	AU
<i>Pulvinaria delottoi</i> Gill		yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	monophagous, including citrus	AF
<i>Pulvinaria elongata</i> Newstead	FL, 1927	yes	minor pest	Carnegie 1997	monophagous, including ice-plant	NT(?)
<i>Pulvinaria ericicola</i> McConnell	native	yes	pest	Kosztarab 1997b	oligophagous, including sugar-cane and grasses	NE
<i>Pulvinaria ficus</i> Hempel		no	threat	Ebeling 1959	monophagous, including blue-berries	NT
<i>Pulvinaria flavescentis</i> Brethes	GA, 1892	no	major threat	Kitayama 1993	polyphagous, including tropical fruits and ornamentals	NT
<i>Pulvinaria floccifera</i> (Westwood)		yes	pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	oligophagous, including citrus	PA(?)
<i>Pulvinaria fujisana</i> Kanda		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	polyphagous, including ornamentals	PA
<i>Pulvinaria horii</i> Kuwana		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Pulvinaria hydrangeae</i> Steinwedden	native	yes	pest	Tondeur et al. 1990	oligophagous, including deciduous forest and fruit trees	NE
<i>Pulvinaria iceryi</i> (Signoret)		no	minor threat	Carnegie 1997	polyphagous, including ornamentals	NE
<i>Pulvinaria kuwacula</i> Kuwana		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including grasses and sugarcane	AF
<i>Pulvinaria mammæa</i> Maskell		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Pulvinaria occidentalis</i> Cockerell	native	yes	minor pest	Pfeiffer 1997	polyphagous, including tropical fruits	AU
<i>Pulvinaria okitsuensis</i> Kuwana		no	minor threat	Ebeling 1959	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	NE
<i>Pulvinaria peninsularis</i> Ferris	native	yes	minor pest	Ebeling 1959	oligophagous, including citrus and tea	PA
<i>Pulvinaria peregrina</i> (Borchsenius)		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including citrus and tea	NE
					oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA

Table 1. Continued.

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Pulvinaria persicae</i> Newstead		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Pulvinaria phaiae</i> Lull	MA, 1897	yes	minor pest	Gill 1988	monophagous, including orchids	PA(?)
<i>Pulvinaria polygonata</i> Cockerell		no	threat	Gill 1997	oligophagous, including citrus and mango	OR
<i>Pulvinaria pruni</i> Hunter	native	yes	minor pest	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	NE
<i>Pulvinaria psidii</i> Maskell	FL, 1909	yes	pest	Nada et al. 1990	polyphagous	OR(?)
<i>Pulvinaria regalis</i> Canard		no	major threat	Kozar et al. 1994	polyphagous, including ornamentals	PA
<i>Pulvinaria rhois</i> Ehrhorn	native	yes	minor pest	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	NE
<i>Pulvinaria urbicola</i> Cockerell	LA, 1925	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	polyphagous	NT
<i>Pulvinaria vitis</i> (Linnaeus)	NY, 1880	yes	pest	Kosztarab and Kozar 1988	polyphagous	PA
<i>Pulvinariella mesembryanthemi</i> (Vallot)	CA, 1971	yes	pest	Donaldson et al. 1978	monophagous, including succulents	AF
<i>Rhodococcus perornatus</i> (Cockerell and Parratt)		no	minor threat	Ordogh 1995	monophagous, including roses	PA
<i>Rhodococcus sariuoni</i> Borchsenius		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Rhodococcus turanicus</i> (Archangelskaya)		no	threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Saccharolecanium krugeri</i> (Zehntner)		no	minor threat	Carnegie 1997	monophagous, including sugar cane	OR
<i>Saissetia citricola</i> (Kuwana)		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including citrus and deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Saissetia coffeae</i> (Walker)	CA, 1914	yes	pest	Hamon and Williams 1984	polyphagous	NT
<i>Saissetia miranda</i> (Cockerell and Parrott)	FL, 1918	yes	pest	Stauffer and Rose 1997	polyphagous	NE
<i>Saissetia neglecta</i> De Lotto	FL, 1921	yes	pest	Stauffer and Rose 1997	polyphagous	NT
<i>Saissetia oleae</i> (Olivier)	KS, 1905	yes	pest	Bartlett 1978	polyphagous	PA(?)
<i>Saissetia persimilis</i> (Newstead)		no	threat	Pfeiffer 1997	polyphagous, including ornamentals and deciduous fruit trees	AF

Table 1. Continued.

Pest or Threat Species	U.S. Origin and Date of Introduction	Established in U.S.	Pest or Threat Status in U.S.	Reference	Principal Hosts	Origin
<i>Saissetia socialis</i> Hempel		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	monophagous, including deciduous fruit trees	NT
<i>Saissetia subpatelliforme</i> (Newstead)		no	minor threat	Ebeling 1959	oligophagous, including citrus	AF
<i>Saissetia zanzibarensis</i> Williams		no	minor threat	Chua 1997a	polyphagous, including tropical fruit trees	AF
<i>Sphaerolectaniun prunastri</i> (Boyer de Fonscolombe)	PA, 1895	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	oligophagous, including fruit trees	PA
<i>Takahashia japonica</i> Cockerell		no	minor threat	Pfeiffer 1997	oligophagous, including ornamentals and deciduous fruit trees	PA
<i>Toumeyella cubensis</i> Heidel and Kölner		no	threat	Gill 1997	monophagous, including citrus trees	NT
<i>Toumeyella hiriodendri</i> (Gmelin)	native	yes	major pest	Burns and Donley 1970	oligophagous, including forest trees	NE
<i>Toumeyella parvicornis</i> (Cockerell)	native	yes	major pest	Rabkin and Lejeune 1955	monophagous, including pines	NE
<i>Toumeyella pini</i> King	native	yes	pest	Clarke et al. 1992	monophagous, including pines	NE
<i>Toumeyella pinicola</i> Ferris	native	yes	major pest	Gill and Kosztarab 1997	monophagous, including pines	NE
<i>Toumeyella virginiana</i> Williams and Kosztarab	native	yes	pest	Kosztarab 1997a	monophagous, including pines	NE
<i>Vinsonia stellifera</i> (Westwood)	FL, 1953	yes	pest	Dekle 1969	polyphagous	OR




Fig. 1. Soft scale introductions in the United States from 1870–1999.

a pest. Therefore, we estimate that there are 146 species that are either pests or represent threats to U.S. agriculture. Of the 66 soft scales considered pests in the U.S., 25 are either native or possibly native species. Therefore, 41 of the soft-scale pests in the country are invasive. Based on Coccidae information presented in ScaleNet (Ben-Dov 2002), there are 105 species of soft scales in the United States; thus, the invasive component of the soft-scale fauna in the United States is approximately 39%. This percentage is much higher than the 13% of invasive species in the mealybug fauna of the U.S. (Miller et al. 2002). With the exception of the 1960's and the 1980's, at least one species of soft scale (Fig. 1) has been introduced every decade since the 1870's. The greatest number of introduced soft scales (nine species) occurred between 1900 and 1909. Two periods, the 1890's and the 1920's, witnessed the introduction of six species. More than 73% of the invasive soft scales were introduced in the

first 69 years of record keeping (1870–1939).

A summary of the region of origin of all invasive soft scales in the U.S. is as follows: Palearctic Region, 13; Neotropical Region, 11; Oriental Region, 9; Afrotropical Region, 5; Nearctic Region outside of the U.S., 3; and Australasian Region, 0. Of all of these species in the U.S., only *Prococcus acutissimus* (Green) from the Oriental Region is not considered a pest.

Examination of the region of origin for pest soft scales worldwide provides the following results: Palearctic, 46; Nearctic, 29; Oriental, 25; Neotropical, 23; Afrotropical, 18; and Australasian, 6. Host characteristics of these pests include 38% polyphagous, 33% oligophagous, and 29% monophagous. Based on the characteristics of zoogeographic regional distributions of the highest number of soft-scale pests (Palearctic, Neotropical, and Oriental Regions, respectively), and greatest frequency of host plants (polyphagous and oligophagous), a list of

the species most likely to invade the United States was determined. Those species likely to invade from the Palearctic Region include *Eulecanium douglasi* (Šulc), *Lichenia viburni* Signoret, *Palaeolecanium bituberculatum* (Signoret), *Parthenolecanium rufulum* (Cockerell), *Pulvinaria aurantii* Cockerell, *Pulvinaria regalis* Canard, and *Rhodococcus turanicus* (Archangelskaya). Those species likely to invade from the Neotropical Region include *Ceroplastes bergi* Cockerell, *Ceroplastes grandis* Hempel, *Philephedra broadwayi* (Cockerell), *Pulvinaria ficus* Hempel, and *Pulvinaria flavescentia* Brethes. Those species likely to invade from the Oriental Region include *Ceroplastes actiniformis* Green, *Ceroplastes japonicus* Green, *Ceroplastes pseudoceriferus* Green, *Coccus discrepans* (Green), *Didesmococcus unifasciatus* (Archangelskaya), *Drepanococcus chiton* (Green), *Megapulvinaria maxima* (Green), *Protopulvinaria longivalvata* Green, and *Pulvinaria polygonata* Cockerell.

USDA, APHIS-PPQ records from the past five years also were searched to determine which intercepted species of soft scales pose the greatest threat. A list of the top seven species most frequently intercepted at U.S. ports-of-entry are *Ceroplastes japonicus* Green, *Coccus moestus* De Lotto, *Philephedra broadwayi* (Cockerell), *Protopulvinaria longivalvata* Green, *Pulvinaria polygonata* Cockerell, *Tillancoccus mexicanus* Ben-Dov, and *Udinia catori* (Green). Comparison of the two lists reveals the following four species common to both: *Ceroplastes japonicus*, *Philephedra broadwayi*, *Protopulvinaria longivalvata*, and *Pulvinaria polygonata*. While the possibility exists that other soft scales could invade the U.S., we suggest that the four aforementioned species are the most plausible candidates as the next invasive soft scales into the United States.

## DISCUSSION

Our data indicate that the decade starting in 1900 had the largest number of soft scale

insect introductions into the U.S. Although Miller et al. (2002) speculated that high number of mealybug interceptions may be linked to detection strategies and procedures developed in conjunction with the Plant Quarantine Act in 1912, the number of soft scale introductions is not consistent with this hypothesis.

The introduction of even a single species is of concern to U.S. agriculture. Huber et al. (2002) hypothesized that if a pest can enter the United States, over time there is a strong likelihood for establishment. As a result, they believed more appropriate and cost-effective quarantine procedures must be developed. We think one step in this procedure is to identify those species of insects which pose the greatest threat.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to M. Gimpel (University of Maryland, College Park, MD) for assistance with Coccidae species records for the United States and J. Cousins (USDA, APHIS-PPQ, Riverdale, MD) for Coccidae interception records at U.S. ports-of-entry. We also thank P. Lambdin (Dept. of Entomology and Plant Pathology, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN), T. Henry (USDA, ARS, Systematic Entomology Laboratory, Washington, DC), and R. Ochoa (USDA, ARS, Systematic Entomology Laboratory, Beltsville, MD) for helpful suggestions and comments with the manuscript.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Abu-Yaman, I. K. 1970. The pistachio cushion scale, *Anapulvinaria pistaciae* Boden., and its control in Iraq. *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Entomologie* 66: 242–247.
- Avidov, Z. and I. Harpaz. 1969. *Plant Pests of Israel*. Israel Universities Press, Jerusalem, 549 pp.
- Bartlett, B. R. 1978. Coccidae, pp. 57–74. In Clausen, C. P., ed. *Introduced Parasites and Predators of Arthropod Pests and Weeds: A World Review*. Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 545 pp.
- Bedford, E. C. G. 1968. The biology of *Ceroplastes sinoiae* Hall, with special reference to the ecdysis

- and the morphology of the test. Entomology Memoirs. Department of Agricultural Technical Services. Republic of South Africa, Pretoria 14: 1–111.
- Ben-Dov, Y. 1988. The scale insects (Homoptera: Coccoidea) of citrus in Israel: diversity and pest status. In Goren, R. and K. Mendel, eds. Proceedings of the Sixth International Citrus Congress. Tel Aviv, Israel: March 6–11, 1988. Balaban, Philadelphia/Rehovot.
- . 2002. Coccidae In ScaleNet [web page] <http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov/scalecgi/region.exe?region=N&family=Coccidae&country=USA&genus=&intro=A&subunit=&regname=Nearctic&ctryname=&action=Submit+Query&querytype=Country+Query>. Accessed 1 April 2002.
- Brink, T. and I. J. Bruwer. 1989. Andersoni scale, *Criocrocanium andersoni* (Newstead) (Hemiptera: Coccoidae) a pest on citrus in South Africa. Citrus and Subtropical Fruit Journal 64S: 9, 25.
- Burns, D. P. and D. E. Donley. 1970. Biology of the tuliptree scale, *Toumeyella liriodendri* (Homoptera: Coccoidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 63: 228–235.
- Campbell, C. A. M. 1997. 3.3.15 Cocoa, pp. 381–385. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Carnegie, A. J. M. 1997. 3.3.10 Sugarcane and bamboo, pp. 333–341. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Chua, T. H. 1997a. 3.3.17 Coconut, pp. 393–394. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- . 1997b. 3.3.18 Rubber, pp. 395–399. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Clarke, S. R., J. F. Negron, and G. L. Debarr. 1992. Effects of 4 pyrethroids on scale insect (Homoptera) populations and their natural enemies in loblolly and shortleaf pine seed orchards. Journal of Economic Entomology 85(4): 1246–1252.
- Danzig, E. M. 1986. Coccids of the Far-Eastern USSR (Homoptera: Coccoidea). Phylogenetic analysis of coccids in the world fauna. Amerind Publishing Co., New Delhi, India, 450 pp.
- Dekle, G. W. 1969. Stellate scale *Vinsonia stellifera* (Westw.) (Homoptera—Coccoidae). Entomology Circular, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services Division of Plant Industry 80: 1–2.
- . 1973. Tessellated scale, *Eucalymnatus tessellatus* (Signoret). Entomology Circular, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services Division of Plant Industry 138: 1–2.
- Del Rivero, J. M. 1966. Nota sobre una plaga de agrios y aguacates. Boletín de Patología Vegetal y Entomología Agrícola, Madrid 29: 59–62.
- Donaldson, D. R., W. S. Moore, C. S. Koehler, and J. L. Joos. 1978. Scales threaten iceplant in Bay area. California Agriculture 32: 4–7.
- Ebeling, W. 1959. Subtropical fruit pests. Division of Agricultural Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, 436 pp.
- Gill, R. J. 1988. The Scale Insects of California: Part 1. The Soft Scales (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccoidae). California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California, 132 pp.
- . 1997. 3.3.1 Citrus, pp. 207–215. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Gill, R. J. and M. Kosztarab. 1997. 3.1.1 Economic importance, pp. 161–163. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Gill, R. J., S. Nakahara, and M. L. Williams. 1977. A review of the genus *Coccus* Linnaeus in America north of Panama (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccoidae). Occasional Papers in Entomology, State of California, Department of Food and Agriculture 24: 1–44.
- Gimpel, W. F., D. R. Miller, and J. A. Davidson. 1974. A systematic revision of the wax scales, genus *Ceroplastes*, in the United States (Homoptera: Coccoidea; Coccoidae). University of Maryland, Agricultural Experiment Station, Miscellaneous Publication 841: 1–85.
- Hamon, A. B. and M. L. Williams. 1984. The soft scale insects of Florida (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccoidae). Arthropods of Florida and Neighboring Land Areas. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services Division of Plant Industry, Gainesville, 194 pp.
- Hempel, A. 1920. Descripções de coccidas novas e pouco conhecidas. Revista do Museu Paulista, São Paulo 12: 329–377.
- Hosking, G.P. and D.J. Kershaw. 1985. Red beech death in the Maruia Valley, South Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Botany 23: 201–211.
- Huber, D. M., M. E. Hugh-Jones, M. K. Rust, S. R. Sheffield, D. Simberloff, and C. R. Taylor. 2002. Invasive pest species: Impacts on agricultural production, natural resources, and the environment. CAST Issue Paper 20: 1–18.

- Kitayama, K. 1993. Ocorrência de *Pulvinaria flaves-cens* Brethes, 1918 (Homoptera: Coccoidae) em *Citrus reticulata* Blanco em, Brasília, DF. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 28(8): 889–895.
- Kosztarab, M. 1996. Scale insects of Northeastern North America. Identification, biology, and distribution. Virginia Museum of Natural History, Martinsburg, Virginia, 650 pp.
- . 1997a. 3.3.11 Coniferous forest trees, pp. 343–346. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- . 1997b. 3.3.12 Deciduous forest trees, pp. 347–355. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- . 1997c. 3.3.13 Ornamental and house plants, pp. 357–366. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Kosztarab, M. and F. Kozár. 1988. Scale Insects of Central Europe. Akadémiai Kiado, Budapest, 456 pp.
- Kozár, F., E. Guignard, F. Bachmann, E. Mani, and C. Hippé. 1994. The scale insect and whitefly species of Switzerland (Homoptera: Coccoidea and Aleyrodoidea). Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft/Bulletin of the Société Entomologique Suisse 67(1–2): 151–161.
- Lagowska, B. 1984. Badania nad nasieniem występowania miseczników (Homoptera, Coccoidae) na drzewach i krzewach owocowych w okolicach Lublina. Roczniki Nauk Rolniczych, Seria E, 14 (1–2): 9–17. (In Polish; summary in English.)
- Lepage, H. S. and M. T. Piza. 1941. Redescription of 'Neolecanium silveirai' (Hempel) (Homoptera—Coccoidea), a serious pest of grape, and its control. Arquivos do Instituto Biológico, São Paulo 12: 21–26. (In Portuguese; summary in English.)
- Matile-Ferrero, D. and G. Couturier. 1993. [Mealybugs of Myrtaceae in the Peruvian Amazon. I. Description of two new pests of *Myrciaria dubia* (Homoptera, Coccoidae and Kerriidae).] Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France 98(5): 441–448. (In French; summary in English.)
- McKenzie, H. L. 1951. Present status of the kuno scale, *Lecanium kunoensis* Kuwana, in California (Homoptera; Coccoidea; Coccoidae). Bulletin Department of Agriculture, State of California 40: 105–109.
- Miller, D. R., G. L. Miller, and G. W. Watson. 2002. Invasive species of mealybugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) and their threat to U.S. agriculture. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 104: 825–836.
- Murphy, S. T. 1997. 3.3.14 Coffee, pp. 367–380. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Nada, S., S. A. Rabo, and G. E. D. Hussein. 1990. Scale insects infesting mango trees in Egypt (Homoptera: Coccoidea), pp. 133–134. In Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium of Scale Insect Studies, Part II. Cracow, Poland, August 6–12, 1990. Agricultural University Press, Cracow.
- Nakahara, S. and R. J. Gill. 1985. Revision of *Philephedra*, including a review of *Lichtensis* in North America and description of a new genus *Metapulvinaria* (Homoptera: Coccoidae). Entomography 3: 1–42.
- Ordogh, G. 1995. Morphology of nymphs and biology of *Rhodococcus perornatus* (Cockerell and Parrott) (Homoptera: Coccoidae) in Hungary. Israel Journal of Entomology 29: 93–96.
- Patch, E. M. 1905. The cottony grass scale. *Eriopeltis festucae* (Fonsc.) Bulletin of the Maine Agricultural Experiment Station 121: 169–180.
- Pellizzari-Scaltriti, G. and A. Antonucci. 1982. [Notes on some insects injurious to actindia crops.] Informatore Fitopatologico 32: 47–48. (In Italian; summary in English.)
- Pfeiffer, D. G. 1997. 3.3.8 Deciduous fruit trees, pp. 293–322. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Qin, T. K., P. J. Gullan, and A. C. Beattie. 1998. Biogeography of the wax scales (Insecta: Hemiptera: Coccoidae: Ceroplastinae). Journal of Biogeography 25: 37–45.
- Rabkin, F. B. and R. R. Lejeune. 1955. Some aspects of the biology and dispersal of the pine tortoise scale, *Toumeyella numismaticum* (Pettit and McDaniel) (Homoptera: Coccoidae). Canadian Entomologist 86: 570–575.
- Ray, C. H. and M. L. Williams. 1980. Description of the immature stages and adult male of *Pseudophilippia quaintancii* (Homoptera: Coccoidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 73: 437–447.
- Sabine, B. N. E. 1969. Insecticidal control of citrus pests in coastal central Queensland. Queensland Journal of Agricultural and Animal Sciences 26: 83–88.
- Santas, L. A. 1988. *Physokermes hemicyrphus* (Dalmán) a fir scale insect useful to apiculture in Greece. Entomologia Hellenica 6: 11–22.
- Stauffer, S. and M. Rose. 1997. 3.2.2 Biological control of soft scale insects in interior plantscapes in the USA, pp. 183–205. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology,

- Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Swirski, E., Y. Ben-Dov, and M. Wysoki. 1997. 3.3.4 Mango, pp. 241–254. In Ben-Dov, Y. and C. J. Hodgson, eds. Soft Scale Insects—Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, Vol. 7B. Elsevier, Amsterdam and New York, 442 pp.
- Teran, A. L. and N. H. Guyot. 1969. La cochinilla del delta, *Lecanium deltae* (Lizer) (Hom., Coccoidea), en Tucumán. Acta Zoologica Lilloana. Tucumán 24: 135–149.
- Tondeur, R., B. Schiffers, C. Verstraeten, and J. Merlin. 1990. Chemical methods in an integrated action against *Eupulvinaria hydrangeae* in Belgium (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae). Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium of Scale Insects Studies, Cracow, Poland, August 1990: 157–158.
- Wan, S.Y., M. Wan, and B.L. Young. 1985. [Studies on a new pest of medicinal herb, *Mallococcus vitecicola* Young, n. sp. (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Leucanodiaspididae).] Contributions of the Shanghai Institute of Entomology 5: 267–274. (In Chinese; summary in English.)
- Williams, M. L. and M. Kosztarab. 1972. Morphology and systematics of the Coccidae of Virginia with notes on their biology (Homoptera: Coccoidea). Research Division Bulletin, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University 74: 1–215.